

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasotra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/554,288	02/06/2006	Mark J. Redmond	2315-127	3148	
6449 ROTHWELL	7590 04/09/200 FIGG, ERNST & MAN	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			MACAULEY, SHERIDAN R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	. ,	1651			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/09/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-PAT-Email@rfem.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/554,288	REDMOND ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sheridan R. MacAuley	1651		

	Sheridan R. MacAuley	1651						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 05 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.131; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing								
∑The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO. The period for reply expires on the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO. The period for reply expires on the final rejection, which is the final rejection, which is the final rejection. The period for reply expires on the final rejection, which is the final rejection. The period for reply expires on the final rejection, which is the final rejection. The period for reply expires on the final rejection with the final rejection. The final reply expires on the final rejection with the final rejection. The final reply expires on the final rejection with the final rejection. The final rejection with the final rejection with the final rejection. The final rejection with the final rejection with the final rejection with the final rejection. The final reply expires on the final rejection with the final rejection with the final rejection with the final rejection. The final reply expires on the final rejection with the final rejectio								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the annronriat	e extension fee					
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(s) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (0) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two months	s of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since a					
AMENDMENTS								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s). 			cause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		E below);						
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet 		lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a d	corresponding number of finally rais	oted alaims						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number or finally reje	cteu ciairis.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.112	21 See attached Notice of Non-Cor	nnliant Amandment (PTOL -324)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		ilpliant Americanient (i	102-324).					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s). 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will	be entered and an e	xplanation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provi								
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	try is below or attach	ed.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								
13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.								
	/Ruth A. Davis/							
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1651						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are directed to a new claim limitation, i.e. that microfiltration is used to produce a filtrate comprising beta-glucan having a particle size of less than 0.2 microns. This limitation was not present in the previously presented claims and thus would require further consideration and/or searching.

Continuation of 13. Other: The claims have been amended to include a new limitation, i.e. that microfiltration is used to produce a filtrate comprising beta-glucan having a particle size of less than 0.2 microns. This limitation was not present in the previously presented claims and thus would require further consideration and/or searching.